

REMARKS

Claims 13 to 32 and 35 were canceled. Claims 33 and 34 were amended. Claims 36 to 40 were added. No new matter has been added.

Claim 33 was objected to for being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, claim 33 was amended above to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 33 is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 34 and 36 to 40 depend from claim 33 and are believed allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 33.

Claims 13 to 32, 35, and 35, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of various combinations of references. Those claims have been canceled. Accordingly, the rejections of those claims are now moot.

CONCLUSION

In view of all of the above, it is believed that the objection to claim 33 has been overcome with the submitted amendment, and that all currently pending claims 33, 34, and 36 to 40, are allowable.

It is therefore respectfully requested that any rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn, and that the present application issue as early as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 28, 2010

By: /Linda Lecomte/
Linda Shudy Lecomte (Reg. No. 47,084)
KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, New York 10004
(212) 425-7200 (telephone)
(212) 425-5288 (facsimile)

CUSTOMER NO. 26646